UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,660	04/16/2004	Robert J. Hanson	MICRON.123DVC2	9611	
	7590 06/24/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTH	<del></del>	DIAZ, JOSE			
IRVINE, CA 92	= =	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2879			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		06/24/2008	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Astion Communication		Application	plication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/826,660		HANSON, ROBERT J.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			JOSE M. DI	AZ	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the d	cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 An	ril 2004						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to th	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	i) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election rec	uirement.					
	on Papers	011011 0110, 01	0.000.011100						
	•								
-	The specification is objected to by th								
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u>				-				
	Applicant may not request that any obje			•	• •				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		-		•	, ,			
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/25/2005</u> .	PTO-948)		l) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal F i) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hofmann et al. (6329750)**, **hereinafter Hofmann**, in view of Derraa **(6650043)**.

Regarding **claim 1**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses a display device structure, comprising: a substrate (401); an electrically conductive and optically transparent layer (402) over the substrate (401), an aluminum layer (502) over the electrically conductive and optically transparent layer (402) (figs. 3-6, 15, col. 8, lines 37-40 & 53-55, col. 9, lines 40-43 and line 67 through col. 10, line 5).

However, Hofmann fails to exemplify that a metallic protective layer over the aluminum layer.

In the same field of endeavor, Derraa clearly shows and discloses a metallic protective layer (324) over an aluminum layer (fig. 3A, col. 6, lines 39-44), in order to protect the aluminum layer against corrosion caused by etchants during fabrication (col. 9, lines 39-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a metallic protective layer over an aluminum layer as taught by Derraa in the device of Hofmann, in order to protect the aluminum layer against corrosion caused by etchants during fabrication.

Regarding **claim 2**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses that the electrically conductive and optically transparent layer (402) is made of indium tin oxide (col. 9, lines 40-43).

Regarding claim 3, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Hofmann fails to exemplify that a protective layer is made of a material selected from the group consisting of chromium, chrome alloys, nickel and cobalt.

In the same field of endeavor, Derraa clearly shows and discloses that the protective layer (324) is made of a material selected from the group consisting of chromium, chrome alloys, nickel and cobalt (col. 6 lines 43-44), in order to protect the aluminum layer against corrosion caused by etchants during fabrication (col. 9, lines 39-45).

Same rationale to combine from the rejection of claim 1 applies.

Regarding **claim 4**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Hofmann fails to exemplify that a protective layer is made of chromium, and has substantially no pinholes.

In the same field of endeavor, Derraa clearly shows and discloses that the protective layer (324) is made of chromium, and has substantially no pinholes (col. 6 lines 43-44 & lines 63-64), in order to protect the aluminum layer against corrosion caused by etchants during fabrication (col. 9, lines 39-45).

Same rationale to combine from the rejection of claim 1 applies.

Regarding **claim 5**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Hofmann fails to exemplify that a barrier layer between the layer of electrically conductive and optically transparent material and the aluminum layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,660

Art Unit: 2879

In the same field of endeavor, Derraa clearly shows and discloses a barrier layer (322) the layer below the aluminum layer (col. 6 lines 39-41), in order to impair electromigration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a barrier layer the layer below the aluminum layer as taught by Derraa in the device of Hofmann, in order to impair electro migration.

Regarding **claim 6**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses that the substrate (301) forms part of a faceplate for a flat panel display (col. 8, lines 37-40 & 53-55).

Regarding **claim 7**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses that the layer of electrically conductive and optically transparent material (402) has a thickness of between about 2000 and 5000 Å (col. 9, lines 40-43).

Regarding **claims 8 and 9**, Hofmann clearly shows and discloses the claimed invention.

However, Hofmann fails to exemplify that the aluminum layer has a thickness of between about 3000 and 10,000 A and that a protective layer has a thickness of between about 500 and 5000 A.

In the same field of endeavor, Derraa clearly shows and discloses that the aluminum layer (323) has a thickness of between about 3000 and 10,000 Å (col. 7, lines8-11) and that the protective layer (324) has a thickness of between about 500 and 5000 Å (col. 9 lines 29-33), in order to protect the aluminum layer against corrosion caused by etchants during fabrication (col. 9, lines 39-45).

Same rationale to combine from the rejection of claim 1 applies.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSE M. DIAZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9822. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 5:00 EST Monday-Thursday; Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José M. Díaz/ Examiner, Art Unit 2879

/Mariceli Santiago/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879